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## Lecture 1: <br> The Weil representation of the metaplectic group

- The Schrödinger model
- The Robinson-Rawnsley model (on the Bargmann-Segal space)


## $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ commutes with the Fourier transform

Fourier transform: $\quad \mathcal{F} v(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{2 \pi i x \cdot x^{\prime}} v\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} \quad\left(v \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$-action on $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \quad \omega(g) v(x):=v\left(g^{-1} x\right) \quad\left(g \in \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$
They commute: $\quad \mathcal{F} \omega(g)=\omega(g) \mathcal{F} \quad\left(g \in \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$
Isotypic decomposition: $\quad \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\sum_{\rho \neq \operatorname{det}} \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{\rho}$
Each $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{\rho}}$ is described as an integral kernel operator in "Harmonic Analysis on Euclidean Spaces" by E. Stein and G. Weiss, 1971.

## Hermite functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

$v_{\beta}(x):=P_{\beta_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) P_{\beta_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}, \quad \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$
Eigenvectors for $\mathcal{F}: \quad \mathcal{F} v_{\beta}=\left(e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}}\right)^{|\beta|} v_{\beta}, \quad$ where $\quad|\beta|=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$
Then $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{d}, \quad$ where $\quad \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{d}:=\sum_{|\beta|=d} \mathbb{C} v_{\beta}$.
Hence, the diagonalization

$$
\mathcal{F}=\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\left(e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}}\right)^{d} I_{\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{d}}
$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is part of a one-parameter family of operators
$\mathcal{F}_{\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta\end{array}\right)}:=\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)^{d} I_{\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)_{d}}, \quad$ e.g. $\quad \mathcal{F}_{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)}=\mathcal{F}$.
These operators commute with the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$-action.
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also commutes with dilations and Gaussian multipliers dilations: $\left.\omega\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=a^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\left(a^{-1} x\right)$
Gaussian multipliers: $\omega\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & n \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=e^{i \pi n\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} v(x)$.
Altogether, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ commutes with the actions of the groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{A}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) ; a>0\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; n \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also commutes with dilations and Gaussian multipliers dilations: $\left.\omega\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=a^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\left(a^{-1} x\right)$
Gaussian multipliers: $\omega\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & n \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=e^{i \pi n\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} v(x)$.
Altogether, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ commutes with the actions of the groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{A}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) ; a>0\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; n \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

On the OTHER hand, there is an isomorphism of manifolds: $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{N} \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also commutes with dilations and Gaussian multipliers dilations: $\left.\omega\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=a^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\left(a^{-1} x\right)$
Gaussian multipliers: $\omega\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & n \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right) v(x):=e^{i \pi n\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} v(x)$.
Altogether, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ commutes with the actions of the groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{A}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) ; a>0\right\}, \\
& \mathrm{N}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; n \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

On the OTHER hand, there is an isomorphism of manifolds: $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{N} \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Is there anything behind this?

## Chomolungma



## Gaussians and Weil factors on $\mathbb{R}$

Let $d x$ denote the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
Let $\chi(r):=e^{2 \pi i r}, r \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$
\gamma(a):=\lim _{b \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+i b) x^{2}\right) d x=|a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{W}(a)
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{W}(a):=e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \operatorname{sgn}(a)} \quad(a \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})
$$

is the Weil factor.

## Gaussians and Weil factors on $\mathbb{R}$

Let $d x$ denote the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
Let $\chi(r):=e^{2 \pi i r}, r \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$
\gamma(a):=\lim _{b \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}(a+i b) x^{2}\right) d x=|a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{w}(a),
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{W}(a):=e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \operatorname{sgn}(a)} \quad(a \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})
$$

is the Weil factor.

## Gaussians and Weil factors on a vector space

U finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure $\mu_{\mathrm{U}}$; $q$ a nondegenerate quadratic form on U .
Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(q) & :=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0} \int_{U} \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}(q+i p)(u)\right) d \mu_{U}(u), \\
\gamma w(q) & :=\frac{\gamma(q)}{|\gamma(q)|}=\chi\left(\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn}(q)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Back to Lie groups

(W, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ ) a symplectic space;
Symplectic group:
$\mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})=\left\{g \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~W}) ;\left\langle g w, g w^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle, \forall w, w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}\right\}$.
Symplectic Lie algebra:
$\mathfrak{s p}=\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{W})=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~W}) ;\left\langle x w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left\langle w, x w^{\prime}\right\rangle, \forall w, w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}\right\}$.

## Back to Lie groups

(W, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ ) a symplectic space;
Symplectic group:
$\mathrm{Sp}=\operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{W})=\left\{g \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~W}) ;\left\langle g w, g w^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle, \forall w, w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}\right\}$.
Symplectic Lie algebra:
$\mathfrak{s p}=\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{W})=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~W}) ;\left\langle x w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left\langle w, x w^{\prime}\right\rangle, \forall w, w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}\right\}$.

## Determinants

Pick $J \in \mathfrak{s p}$ such that $J^{2}=-I$ and let $B(\cdot, \cdot):=\langle J \cdot, \cdot\rangle>0$.
Define
$\operatorname{det}(g-1: \mathrm{W} / \operatorname{Ker}(g-1) \rightarrow(g-1) \mathrm{W}):=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle(g-1) w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}\right)$,
where $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$ is any $B$-orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Ker}(g-1)^{\perp_{B}} \subseteq \mathrm{~W}$.

## The Metaplectic Group

$$
\gamma(a):=|a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \operatorname{sgn}(a)} \quad\left(a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}\right)
$$

For $g, g_{1}, g_{2} \in S p$, let

$$
\Theta^{2}(g):=\gamma(1)^{2 \operatorname{dim}(g-1) \mathrm{W}-2}[\gamma(\operatorname{det}(g-1: \mathbf{W} / \operatorname{Ker}(g-1) \rightarrow(g-1) \mathrm{W}))]^{2}
$$

$$
C\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right):=\sqrt{\left|\frac{\Theta^{2}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)}{\Theta^{2}\left(g_{1}\right) \Theta^{2}\left(g_{2}\right)}\right|} \gamma_{w}\left(q_{g_{1}, g_{2}}\right),
$$

where

$$
q_{g_{1}, g_{2}}\left(u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right):=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(g_{1}+1\right)\left(g_{1}-1\right)^{-1} u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(g_{2}+1\right)\left(g_{2}-1\right)^{-1} u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\left(u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in\left(g_{1}-1\right) \mathrm{W} \cap\left(g_{2}-1\right) \mathrm{W}\right) .
$$

The Metaplectic Group

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}:=\left\{\tilde{g}=(g, \xi) \in \mathrm{Sp} \times \mathbb{C}, \xi^{2}=\Theta^{2}(g)\right\} \\
& \left(g_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)\left(g_{2}, \xi_{2}\right):=\left(g_{1} g_{2}, \xi_{1} \xi_{2} C\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalization of Haar measures on vector spaces

Recall the positive definite form $B(\cdot, \cdot)=\langle J \cdot, \cdot\rangle$.
For any subspace $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$ we normalize the Haar measure $\mu_{\mathrm{U}}$ on U so that the volume of the unit cube with respect to form $B$ is 1 .

If $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ is a subspace, then $B$ induces a positive definite form on the quotient $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}$ and hence a normalized Haar measure $\mu_{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{V}}$ so that the volume of the unit cube is 1 .

## The Weil representation of $\widetilde{S p}$ (Schrödinger model)

 $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{X} \oplus \mathrm{Y}$ a complete polarization. We shall assume that $J \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}$. Op : $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X}), \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X})\right)$$$
\operatorname{Op}(K) v(x)=\int_{\mathrm{X}} K\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) v\left(x^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Weyl transform $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X})$

$$
\mathcal{K}(f)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{Y} f\left(x-x^{\prime}+y\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle y, x+x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) d \mu_{Y}(y)
$$

An imaginary Gaussian on the subspace $(g-1) \mathrm{W}$ of W :

$$
\chi_{c(g)}(u)=\chi(\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}}_{c(g)}\langle\underbrace{(g+1)(g-1)^{-1}}_{\sim} u, u\rangle) \quad(u=(g-1) w, w \in \mathrm{~W}) .
$$

For $\tilde{g}=(g, \xi) \in \widetilde{\text { Sp }}$ define

$$
\Theta(\tilde{g})=\xi, \quad T(\tilde{g})=\Theta(\tilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)} \mu_{(g-1) \mathrm{W},} \quad \omega(\tilde{g})=\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K} \circ T(\tilde{g})
$$

Then $T: \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{W})$ is an injective homeomorphism.
$\left(\omega, L^{2}(X)\right)$ is the Weil representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$ attached to the character $\chi$.

## The Weil representation of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{W})$ (Schrödinger model)

 The Heisenberg group:$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})=\mathrm{W} \times \mathbb{R} \\
& (w, r)\left(w^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right):=\left(w+w^{\prime}, r+r^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
T(w, r)=\chi(r) \delta_{w} \quad((w, r) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})) .
$$

Then

$$
T: \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{W})
$$

is an injective homeomorphism.
Set $\omega:=\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K} \circ T$.
$\left(\omega, L^{2}(X)\right)$ is the Weil representation of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{W})$ with central character $\chi$. Explicitly, for $v \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$ and $x \in \mathrm{X}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left(x_{0}, r\right) v(x)=\chi(r) v\left(x-x_{0}\right) \quad\left(x_{0} \in \mathrm{X}, r \in \mathbb{R}\right), \\
& \omega\left(y_{0}, r\right) v(x)=\chi(r) \chi\left(\left\langle y_{0}, x\right\rangle\right) v(x) \quad\left(y_{0} \in \mathrm{Y}, r \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weil representation of $\widetilde{S p} \ltimes \mathrm{H}(W)$ (Schrödinger model)

Twisted convolution $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$
\psi \not \emptyset \phi(w)=\int_{\mathrm{W}} \psi(u) \phi(w-u) \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle u, w\rangle\right) d \mu_{\mathrm{W}}(u) \quad(w \in \mathrm{~W}) .
$$

Since the metaplectic group acts on the Heisenberg group via automorphisms

$$
\tilde{g}(w, r)=(g w, r) \quad(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}),(w, r) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})),
$$

we have the semidirect product $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W}) \ltimes \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{W})$, which we embed into the space of the tempered distributions by

$$
T(\tilde{g},(w, r))=T(\tilde{g}) \natural T(w, r) \quad(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}),(w, r) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})) .
$$

## Theorem

Let $\omega=\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K} \circ T$. Then

$$
\omega: \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}) \ltimes \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})\right)
$$

is an injective group homomorphism. For each $v \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$, the map

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}) \ltimes \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W}) \ni \tilde{g} \rightarrow \omega(\tilde{g}) v \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})
$$

is continuous. Hence $\left(\omega, \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})\right)$ is a unitary representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W}) \ltimes \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{W})$.

Moreover,

$$
\omega(\tilde{g}) \omega(w, r) \omega\left(\tilde{g}^{-1}\right)=\omega(g w, r) \quad(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}),(w, r) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})) .
$$

The action of $\omega$ extends to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X})$ and the above formula holds with $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$ replaced by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X})$. In particular, $\omega(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$ ) normalizes $d \omega(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{~W}))$.

## The Robinson-Rawnsley model (on the Bargmann-Segal space)

The formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2 i}(x+i y)\right):=\int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi\left(\frac{1}{4}\langle(x+i y) w, w\rangle\right) d w \\
& \quad(x, y \in \mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W}),\langle y \cdot, \cdot\rangle>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

defines the reciprocal of the unique holomorphic square root of the determinant of $\frac{1}{2 i}(x+i y)$ which is positive for $x=0$. In particular

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \prime}(x+i y)\right)=\gamma\left(q_{x}\right), \text { where } \quad q_{x}(w)=\frac{1}{2}\langle x w, w\rangle .
$$

For $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(W)$ set

$$
C(g):=\frac{1}{2}\left(g+J g J^{-1}\right), \quad A(g):=\frac{1}{2}\left(g-J g J^{-1}\right) .
$$

$C(g)$ commutes with $J$ and hence preserves the eigenspaces $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J= \pm i} \subseteq \mathrm{~W}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

## Lemma

For any $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W}), C(g)$ is invertible and

$$
\left(\Theta(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2 i}(x+i y)\right)\right)^{2}=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(C(g) \mid w_{\mathrm{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1}\right.
$$

Hence define

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(C(\tilde{g}) \mid w_{\mathrm{c}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2}=\Theta(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2 i}(x+i y)\right) .
$$

View the real space W as a complex vector space where $-J$ plays the role of the multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$.
Then $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\left(w, w^{\prime}\right):=\left\langle J w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle-i\left\langle w, w^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

defines a positive definite hermitian form on W .

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Bargmann-Segal space, i.e. the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $h: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}}|h(w)|^{2} e^{-\pi(w, w)} d w<\infty
$$

For $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{R R}(\tilde{g}) h(w)=\operatorname{det}\left(C(\tilde{g}) \mid w_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{\mathrm{W}} h(u) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(w, C\left(g^{-1}\right)^{-1} A\left(g^{-1}\right) w\right)} \\
& \times e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(C(g)^{-1} A(g) u, u\right)} e^{\pi\left(C(g)^{-1} w, u\right)} e^{-\pi(u, u)} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $g=J g J^{-1}$ then

$$
\omega_{R R}(\tilde{g}) h(w)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}}\right)^{-1 / 2} h\left(g^{-1} w\right)
$$

## Theorem

The two unitary representations $\left(\omega, \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{R R}, \mathcal{H}\right)$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$ are unitarily equivalent.

Notation: We shall write $\omega$ for $\omega_{R R}$ if there is no risk of confusion.
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## Lecture 2:

- The Fock model of the Weil representation
- Basic invariants: matrix coefficients, character and wave front set
- Reducibility of the Weil representation
- Real reductive dual pairs


## Some historical remarks

- John von Neumann (1926): two hermitian operators $P$ and $Q$ acting irreducibly on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and satisfying the canonical commutation relations

$$
P Q-Q P=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \mathrm{id}
$$

are determined up to a "rotation in $\mathcal{H}$ ".
In contemporary terms, up to a unitary equivalence, there is only one infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation $\omega$ of the Heisenberg group $H(\mathrm{~W})$ with a fixed central character.

Therefore composing $\omega$ with an automorphism of $H(\mathrm{~W})$ fixing the center gives an isomorphic representation. Sp acts on $H(\mathbb{W})$ by $g \cdot(w, r)=(g w, r)$. Hence there is a unitary projective representation $\omega_{p r}$ of Sp such that

$$
\omega(g w, r)=\omega_{p r}(g) \omega(w, r) \omega_{p r}\left(g^{-1}\right)=\quad(g \in \mathrm{Sp},(w, r) \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W})) .
$$

- David Shale (a student of Irving Segal) (1962): this unitary operator may be chosen up to a sign $\pm$. Hence he obtained a unitary representation of the connected double cover of the symplectic group, which realizes the automorphism via conjugation.
- Ranga Rao (1993) gave an explicit formula for the action of every element of the metaplectic group.
- Teruji Thomas (a student of Vladimir Drinfeld) (2008) computed the Weyl symbols of the operators $\omega(\tilde{g})$.
- Anne-Marie Aubert and T.P. (2014): starting with Thomas' Weyl symbol, define the operator $\omega(\tilde{g})$ explicitly and prove in the Schrödinger model that $\omega\left(\tilde{g}_{1}\right) \omega\left(\tilde{g}_{2}\right)=\omega\left(\tilde{g}_{1} \tilde{g}_{2}\right)$, without the Stone von Neumann theorem. We eliminate the $\pm 1$ ambiguity using the distribution character $\Theta$ of $\omega$.
- What we refer to as the Robinson-Rawnsley model is a slight variation of the classical Bargmann-Segal (-Itzykson) model. In our Robinson-Rawnsley model, the sign ambiguity is eliminated, again using $\Theta$.

In Lecture 1 we presented the two models of $\omega$, as in the last two items.

## Recap of a part of Lecture 1

- Symplectic space $(\mathrm{W},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ with the complexification $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$,
- $J \in \mathfrak{s p} \cap \mathrm{Sp}, J^{2}=-1, \mathrm{~W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}$-i-eigenspace for $J$,
- $\mathcal{H}$ is the Bargmann-Segal space of holomorphic functions $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}}|h(w)|^{2} e^{-\pi(w, w)} d w<\infty
$$

- In our Robinson-Rawnsley model of the Weil representation $\omega$ the metaplectic group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$ acts on $\mathcal{H}$.
In particular, if $g=J g J^{-1}$ then

$$
\omega(\tilde{g}) h(w)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{w_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}}\right)^{-1 / 2} h\left(g^{-1} w\right)
$$

- An explicit $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}} \ltimes \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{W})$-intertwining isometry between our Robinson-Rawnsley and Schrödinger models is

$$
\mathcal{H} \ni h \rightarrow \mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K}\left(h_{\chi_{i J}}\right) v_{0} \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})
$$

where

$$
v_{0}(x)=2^{\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{dim} x} e^{-\pi(x, x)} \quad(x \in X)
$$

The derived representation $d \omega$ of our Robinson-Rawnsley results in the Fock model.

## The Fock model

The space $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)$ of polynomial functions on $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Pick a basis $e_{1}^{+}, e_{2}^{+}, \ldots, e_{n}^{+}$of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=i}$ and a basis $e_{1}^{-}, e_{2}^{-}, \ldots, e_{n}^{-}$of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}$ such that

$$
2 \pi i\left\langle e_{j}^{+}, e_{k}^{-}\right\rangle=\delta_{j, k}
$$

Identify

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i} \ni z_{1} e_{1}^{-}+\ldots+z_{n} e_{n}^{-} \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

Then $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]$.
For $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{~W})^{J}$, denote by $[g] \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ the matrix of $\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}}$ with respect to the ordered basis $e_{1}^{-}, e_{2}^{-}, \ldots, e_{n}^{-}$. Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g} \mid \mathrm{w}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}([g])^{-1}
$$

Therefore we set

$$
\operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}([g])=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g} \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

For $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ define the following elements of $\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{W})_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{j, k}^{+}: e_{j}^{-} \rightarrow e_{k}^{+}, e_{k}^{-} \rightarrow e_{j}^{+}, e_{I}^{-} \rightarrow 0(I \notin\{j, k\}) \\
& E_{j, k}^{-}: e_{j}^{+} \rightarrow e_{k}^{-}, e_{k}^{+} \rightarrow e_{j}^{-}, e_{l}^{+} \rightarrow 0(I \notin\{j, k\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by taking derivatives of $\omega$, we obtain the following formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \omega\left(E_{j, j}^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{2} z_{j}^{2} \\
& d \omega\left(E_{j, k}^{+}\right)=z_{j} z_{k} \quad j \neq k \\
& d \omega\left(E_{j, j}^{-}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{z_{j}}^{2} \\
& d \omega\left(E_{j, k}^{-}\right)=-\partial_{z_{j}} \partial_{z_{k}} \quad j \neq k
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})^{J}$,

$$
\omega(\tilde{g}) p(z)=\operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}([g]) p\left([g]^{-1} z\right) \quad\left(p \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right], z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
$$

This is the Fock model.

## The matrix coefficients of $\omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \tilde{g}=(g, \xi) \in \widetilde{S p} \\
& \Theta(\tilde{g})=\xi, \\
& T(\tilde{g})=\Theta(\tilde{g}) \chi_{c(g)} \mu_{(g-1)} \mathrm{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\chi_{x}(w):=\chi\left(\frac{1}{4}\langle x w, w\rangle\right) \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})_{\mathbb{C}}, w \in \mathrm{~W}\right) .
$$

(This function was used before for $x=c(g)$.)
The scalar function

$$
\Omega(\tilde{g}):=T(\tilde{g})\left(\chi_{i J}\right) \quad(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}))
$$

is $\operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}$-spherical in the sense that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(\tilde{k} \tilde{g} \tilde{k^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{k} \mid \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2} \Omega(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{k^{\prime}} \mid \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{C}, J=-i}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
&\left(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}), \tilde{k}, \tilde{k^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W})^{J}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a seminorm $q$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X})$ such that for any $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X})$,

$$
\left|\left(\omega(\tilde{g}) v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right| \leq q\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}\right)|\Omega(\tilde{g})| \quad(\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W})) .
$$

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a basis of $X$. Set $f_{j}=J e_{j}$. Assume that

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{j}, f_{k}\right\rangle=\delta_{j, k} .
$$

For positive numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ define $a \in \operatorname{End}(W)$ by

$$
a e_{j}=a_{j} e_{j}, \quad a f_{j}=a_{j}^{-1} f_{j}
$$

Then $a \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{~W})$ and the set A of all such elements forms the connected identity component of a maximally split Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$. In these terms

$$
\Omega(\tilde{a})= \pm \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{2}{a_{j}+a_{j}^{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore by the " $\widetilde{K} \widetilde{A} \widetilde{K}$ " decomposition

$$
\int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W})}|\Omega(\tilde{g})|^{p} d \tilde{g}<\infty
$$

if and only if $p>4 n$.

## The distribution character of $\omega$

Theorem
For any $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W}))$, the operator

$$
\omega(f)=\int_{\widetilde{S p}(W)} f(\tilde{g}) \omega(\tilde{g}) d \tilde{g}
$$

is of trace class and

$$
\operatorname{tr} \omega(f)=\int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W})} f(\tilde{g}) \Theta(\tilde{g}) d \tilde{g}
$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent.
Thus the function $\Theta$ introduced in the construction of the metaplectic group and the Weil representation is the distribution character of $\omega$.

Let

$$
\mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W}):=\{x \in \mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W}) ; \operatorname{det}(x-1) \neq 0\} .
$$

This is the domain of the Cayley transform $c(x)=(x+1)(x-1)^{-1}$ in $\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{W})$.

Similarly we have $\mathrm{Sp}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})$.
Fix a real analytic lift $\tilde{c}: \mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})$ of $c: \mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})$ and let $\tilde{c}_{-}(x)=\tilde{c}(x) \tilde{c}(0)^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{c}_{-}(0)$ is the identity of the metaplectic group.

Theorem

$$
\Theta\left(\tilde{c}_{-}(x)\right)=\Theta\left(\tilde{c}(0)^{-1}\right) \Theta(\tilde{c}(x)) \int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi_{x}(w) d w \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})\right)
$$

## The wave front set of a distribution

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Recall the Fourier transform

$$
\mathcal{F}(\phi)\left(v^{*}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{V}} \phi(v) \chi\left(-v^{*}(v)\right) d \mu \mathrm{~V}(v) \quad\left(\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathrm{V}), v^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}^{*}\right)
$$

The wave front set of a distribution $u$ on V at a point $v \in V$, denoted $W F_{v}(u)$, is the complement of the set of all pairs $\left(v, v^{*}\right), v^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}^{*}$, for which there is a $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(V)$ with $\phi(v) \neq 0$ and an open cone $\Gamma \subseteq V^{*}$ containing $v^{*}$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}(\phi u)\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)\right| \leq C_{N}\left(1+\left|v_{1}^{*}\right|\right)^{-N} \quad\left(v_{1}^{*} \in \Gamma, N=0,1,2, \ldots\right) .
$$

This notion behaves well under diffeomorphisms. So for any distribution $u$ on a manifold $M$, one defines $W F(u) \subseteq T^{*} M$ using charts.

For an admissible representation $\rho$ of a real reductive Lie group with distribution character $\Theta_{\rho}$, define the wave front set of $\rho$ as $W F(\rho)=W F_{1}\left(\Theta_{\rho}\right)$.

## The wave front set of $\omega$

Define the unnormalized moment map

$$
\tau_{\text {sp }}: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s p}^{*}(\mathrm{~W}), \quad \tau_{\text {sp }}(w)(x)=\langle x w, w\rangle \quad(x \in \mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W}), w \in \mathrm{~W}) .
$$

Then the integral

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{4} \tau_{\mathfrak{s p}}(w)\right) d w \quad\left(\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{s p}^{*}(\mathrm{~W})\right)\right)
$$

defines an invariant measure $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}$ on the minimal nilpotent coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}=\tau_{\text {sp }}(\mathrm{W} \backslash 0)$.

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi_{x}(w) d w=\int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi\left(\frac{1}{4} \tau_{\text {sp }}(w)(x)\right) d w=\int_{\mathcal{O}} \chi(\xi(x)) \mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\xi)
$$

is a Fourier transform of $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Recall that

$$
\Theta\left(\tilde{c}_{-}(x)\right)=\Theta\left(\tilde{c}(0)^{-1}\right) \Theta(\tilde{c}(x)) \int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi_{x}(w) d w \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})\right)
$$

This shows that modulo the lift via Cayley transform and multiplication by a real analytic function, the character $\Theta$ is a Fourier transform of $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular

$$
W F_{1}(\Theta)=\tau_{\mathfrak{s p}}(\mathrm{W})
$$

One can show that as a subset of the cotangent bundle $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W}) \times \mathfrak{s p}^{*}(\mathrm{~W})$,

$$
W F(\Theta)=\left\{(\tilde{g}, \xi) ; \xi \in W F_{1}(\Theta), A d_{g}^{*}(\xi)=\xi, \tilde{g} \in \operatorname{supp}(\Theta)\right\}
$$

Question: does the above formula hold for the character of any admissible representation of any real reductive group?

## Reducibility of $\omega$

Let $Z=\{ \pm 1\}$ denote the center of Sp.
The preimage $\widetilde{Z} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$ is the center of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$. It acts on $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})$ as follows

$$
\omega(\tilde{z}) v(x)=\frac{\Theta(\tilde{z})}{|\Theta(\tilde{z})|} v\left(z^{-1} x\right) .
$$

Set

$$
\rho_{+}(\tilde{z})=\frac{\Theta(\tilde{z})}{|\Theta(\tilde{z})|}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{-}(\tilde{z})=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\rho_{+}(\tilde{z}) \text { if } z=1, \\
-\rho_{+}(\tilde{z}) \text { if } z=-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then both $\rho_{+}$and $\rho_{-}$are unitary characters of $\widetilde{Z}$ and we have the $\widetilde{Z}$ isotypic decomposition of $\omega$

$$
\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{+}} \oplus \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{-}}
$$

where $L^{2}(X)_{\rho_{+}}$consists of even functions and $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{-}}$of odd functions.

Since $\{0\}$ and $W \backslash\{0\}$ are the only Sp-orbits in $W$,

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{W})^{\mathrm{Sp}}=\mathbb{C} \delta \oplus \mathbb{C} \mu_{\mathrm{W}}
$$

Hence, via the isomorphism $\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X}), \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X})\right)^{\omega(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}))}=2
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})\right)^{\omega(\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{~W}))} \leq 2
$$

Thus the spaces $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{ \pm}}$are irreducible under the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$. Denote the resulting representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$ by $\rho_{ \pm}^{\prime}$. Hence as a representation of $\widetilde{Z} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$,

$$
\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{+} \otimes \rho_{+}^{\prime}} \oplus \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{-} \otimes \rho_{-}^{\prime}}
$$

This is the decomposition of $\omega$ into the sum of two irreducibles.

We just obtained the decomposition

$$
\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{+} \otimes \rho_{+}^{\prime}} \oplus \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho_{-} \otimes \rho_{-}^{\prime}}
$$

The relation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{+} \longleftrightarrow \rho_{+}^{\prime} \\
\rho_{-} \longleftrightarrow \rho_{-}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is our first example of Howe correspondence $\rho \leftrightarrow \rho^{\prime}$ between some irreducible representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{O}_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}(\mathbb{R})$.

The groups Z and Sp are mutual centralizers in Sp and they act reductively on W.
This makes them an example of a real reductive dual pair, as we are going to see next.

## Dual Pairs

Two subgroups $G, G^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$ form a dual pair if they act reductively on W and they are mutual centralizers in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$. The dual pair (G, $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ) is called irreducible if there is no non-trivial direct sum orthogonal decomposition of $W$ preserved by both $G$ and $G^{\prime}$.
Below we list the irreducible pairs, up to isomorphism.

| $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{D}), \mathrm{GL}_{m}(\mathbb{D})$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{p, q}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SP}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{p, q}, \mathrm{U}_{r, s}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2 n}^{*}, \mathrm{Sp}_{p, q}$ |

Here $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ or the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$.
The preimages $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$ are also mutual centralizers in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{W})$.
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## Lecture 3:

- The First Fundamental Theorem of the Classical Invariant Theory
- Howe's Double Commutant Theorem
- Dual pairs with one member compact
- A dual pair as a supergroup
- The Capelli homomorphism


## The unnormalized moment maps

Let $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$ be a dual pair with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$.

## Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{M}_{m, 2 n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1_{n} \\
-1_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left\langle w^{\prime}, w\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(w^{\prime} J w^{t}\right), \\
& g(w)=g w, \quad g^{\prime}(w)=w g^{\prime-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This way $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{O}_{m}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{R})$ are a dual pair inside $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$.
Unnormalized moment maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}: W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}, \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(w)(x)=\langle x w, w\rangle, \\
& \tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}: W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}, \tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}(w)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle x^{\prime} w, w\right\rangle \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{g}, x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, w \in \mathrm{~W}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

They intertwine the group action on the symplectic space with the coadjoint action on the dual of the Lie algebra,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(g w)(x)=\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(w)\left(g^{-1} x g\right), \\
& \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(g^{\prime} w\right)(x)=\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(w)\left(g^{\prime-1} x g^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(g \in \mathrm{G}, g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}, w \in \mathrm{~W}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The First Fundamental Theorem of the Classical Invariant Theory (FFTCIT)

For a finite dimensional vector space V over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{V})$ denote the space of the complex valued polynomial functions.

Theorem
Let $\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ be a dual pair with G compact. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a) } \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W})^{\mathrm{G}}=\mathcal{P}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}, \\
& (b) \\
& C^{\infty}(\mathrm{W})^{\mathrm{G}}=C^{\infty}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}, \\
& (c) \\
& \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{W})^{\mathrm{G}}=\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}, .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Howe;
(b) Howe + Schwartz;
(c) Howe + Astengo, Di Blasio, Ricci.

## Howe's Double Commutant Theorem

Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{e})$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{e}$.

## Theorem

For any dual pair (G, G'),

$$
d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\omega(\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}})}=d \omega\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Since the action by conjugation factors to G the above formula may be rewritten as

$$
d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{G}}=d \omega\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

In particular, applying this equation to the dual pair ( $\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Sp}$ ), we see that

$$
d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{~W})))^{Z}=d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W}))) .
$$

Since $Z \subseteq G$, by taking $G$ invariants on both sides, we get

$$
d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{G}}=d \omega\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Howe Correspondence for dual pairs $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ with $G$ compact
We realize $\omega$ in the Fock model acting on the space $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathbb{C}, J=-i}\right)$. Assume that $\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})^{J}$.
For $\rho \in \widehat{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$, let $\mathcal{P}_{\rho}$ denote the $\rho$-isotypic component.
Denote by $\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega) \subseteq \widehat{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$ the subset of the $\rho$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\rho} \neq 0$.

## Theorem

For each $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega)$, the space $\mathcal{P}_{\rho}$ is irreducible under the joint action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. Thus there is an irreducible representation d $\rho^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\rho}=\mathcal{P}_{\rho \otimes d \rho^{\prime}}
$$

as a $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ module. If $\rho_{1}$ is not isomorphic to $\rho_{2}$ then $d \rho_{1}^{\prime}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{d}_{2}^{\prime}$. Furthermore

$$
\mathcal{P}=\bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega)} \mathcal{P}_{\rho \otimes d \rho^{\prime}}
$$

By taking closures we obtain irreducible unitary representations $\rho^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}},
$$

where the sum denotes direct orthogonal sum of Hilbert spaces.
In the next few slides we'll see how to determine $R(G, \omega)$ and the correspondence $\rho \longleftrightarrow \rho^{\prime}$.

The above decomposition in the Schrödinger model looks as follows,

$$
\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})=\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega)} \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}
$$

## Harmonic polynomials

Conjugation by $J$ is a Cartan involution on $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{k}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}
$$

be the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Set

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}=\left\{Z \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime} ;[J, Z]= \pm 2 Z\right\}
$$

Then we have the Harish-Chandra decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}+\oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime-}
$$

Set

$$
\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})=\left\{p \in \mathcal{P} ; d \omega\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime-}\right) p=0\right\}
$$

This space is $\widetilde{G}$ invariant. For $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega)$, let $\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho}$ be the $\rho$ isotypic component.

## Theorem

The space $\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho}$ is irreducible under the joint action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$. As a representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ it is of type $\rho \otimes \sigma^{\prime}$, where $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho}=\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho \otimes \sigma^{\prime}} .
$$

The subspace $\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\rho}$ consists of the polynomials of lowest degree. The map

$$
\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{G}, \omega) \ni \rho \rightarrow \sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, \omega\right)
$$

is injective. As a space of polynomials

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}=\mathcal{P}_{\rho}=\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{G}} \cdot \operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho} .
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ the degree of the polynomials where $\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho \otimes \sigma^{\prime}}$ occurs.

## Example: $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Sp}_{2}(\mathbb{R})=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$

$\mathcal{P}=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$
$\omega(g) h\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=h\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) g\right) \quad(g \in G, h \in \mathcal{P})$
$\omega\left(k_{\theta}\right) h\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=e^{-i \theta} h\left(e^{i \theta} z_{1}, e^{i \theta} z_{2}\right) \quad\left(k_{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}_{2} \subseteq \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$
$d \omega\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime-}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(\partial_{z_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{z_{2}}^{2}\right), \quad d \omega\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime+}\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)$
For $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, let $\rho_{k}$ be the irreducible representation of G acting on $\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}+i z_{2}\right)^{k}+\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}-i z_{2}\right)^{k}$ by the above formula. Then $\rho_{0}$ is the trivial representation of G .
$\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho_{0}}=\mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{G})_{\rho_{k}}=\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}+i z_{2}\right)^{k}+\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}-i z_{2}\right)^{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{\rho_{0}}=\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{G}}=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right], \\
& \mathcal{P}_{\rho_{k}}=\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right]\left(\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}+i z_{2}\right)^{k}+\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1}-i z_{2}\right)^{k}\right), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma_{k}^{\prime}\left(k_{\theta}\right)=e^{-i k \theta}$
The harmonic correspondence is $\rho_{k} \longleftrightarrow \sigma_{k+1}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)=k$

## Decay of matrix coefficients

Let $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. Fix a Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}$ containing $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime-}$ is Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}$. In these terms $d \rho^{\prime}$ is a highest weight representation with highest weight $\lambda_{\rho^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime *}$.
There is a maximally split Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ with the split part $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$ and a Cayley transform

$$
C: \mathfrak{a}^{\prime} \rightarrow i t^{\prime}
$$

## Example:

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s p}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
C:\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow i\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

up to a sign.

Denote by $W\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}\right)$ the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$.
The following theorem describes the decay of matrix coefficients of $\rho^{\prime}$, which are generally better than those coming from $\omega$.

## Theorem

There is a seminorm $q$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X}) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{X})$ such that

$$
|(\omega(\exp (x)) u, v)| \leq q(u, v) \min _{s \in W\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}\right)} e^{-\left|\lambda_{\rho^{\prime}}(C(s x))\right|} \quad\left(x \in \mathfrak{a}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## The distribution character and the wave front set of $\rho^{\prime}$

Denote by $\Theta_{\rho}$ the character of $\rho$ and similarly for $\rho^{\prime}$. Set

$$
f_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}=\int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}} \Theta_{\rho}\left(\tilde{g}^{-1}\right) T(\tilde{g}) d \tilde{g}
$$

This is a tempered distribution on W and $\mathrm{Op} \circ \mathcal{K}\left(f_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}\right)$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{X})_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}$, assuming the mass of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ is 1 . Then, in terms of distributions

$$
\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{c}_{-}(x)\right)=\Theta\left(\tilde{c}(0)^{-1}\right) \Theta(\tilde{c}(x)) \int_{\mathrm{W}} \chi_{x}(w) f_{\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}}(w) d w, x \in \mathfrak{s p}^{c}(\mathrm{~W})
$$

Consequently

$$
W F\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(0)\right)
$$

## A dual pair as a supergroup

Fix two right vector spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\overline{1}}$ over $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. Set $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathrm{~V}_{\overline{1}}$ and define an element $\mathrm{S} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})$ by

$$
\mathrm{S}\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right)=v_{0}-v_{1} \quad\left(v_{0} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\overline{0}}, v_{1} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\overline{1}}\right)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{0}}=\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V}) ; \mathrm{S} x=x \mathrm{~S}\} \\
& \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{1}}=\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V}) ; \mathrm{S} x=-x \mathrm{~S}\} \\
& \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}}=\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{0}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{~V})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \star & \\
\hline & \mathrm{v}_{\overline{0}} \\
\hline & * \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



The anticommutant

$$
\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{1}} \times \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{1}} \ni x, y \rightarrow\{x, y\}=x y+y x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}} .
$$

For $x, y \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V})$. Set

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{D} / \mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{S} x y) .
$$

The restriction of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ to $\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\bar{\top}}$ is a nondegenerate symplectic form. The adjoint action Ad: $\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{0}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}\left(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{1}}\right)$ maps the groups

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}} ;\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{v}_{\overline{1}}}=1\right\}, \quad \mathrm{G}_{1}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}} ;\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{v}_{\overline{0}}}=1\right\}
$$

onto a dual pair $\left(G_{0}, G_{1}\right)$ with $G_{0}$ isomorphic to $G L\left(V_{\overline{0}}\right)$ and $G_{1}$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\overline{1}}\right)$.

Suppose $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0}$ is a non-degenerate hermitian form on $\mathrm{V}_{\overline{0}}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{1}$ is a non-degenerate skew-hermitian form on $\mathrm{V}_{\overline{\mathrm{F}}}$. Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the direct sum of the two forms. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{s}_{0}=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}} ;(x u, v)=-(u, x v), u, v \in \mathrm{~V}\right\} \quad \\
& \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}=\left\{x \in \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{1}} ;(x u, v)=(u, \mathrm{~S} x v), u, v \in \mathrm{~V}\right\} \quad \approx \\
& \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}, \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\left\{s \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{~V})_{\overline{0}} ;(s u, s v)=(u, v), u, v \in \mathrm{~V}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



The adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}: \mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{-1}\right)$ maps the groups

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{~S} ;\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{v}_{\overline{1}}}=1\right\}, \quad \mathrm{G}_{1}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{~S} ;\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{v}_{\overline{0}}}=1\right\}
$$

onto a dual pair $\left(G_{0}, G_{1}\right)$ where $G_{0}$ is isomorphic to the isometry groups $G\left((\cdot, \cdot)_{0}\right)$ and $G_{1}$ to the isometry group $G\left((\cdot, \cdot)_{1}\right)$.

For the previous dual pair we shall also write $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{V})_{\overline{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}=\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})_{\bar{\top}}$. Then for any dual pair we have the unnormalized moment maps

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}} \ni w \rightarrow w^{2}\left|v_{\overline{0}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \quad \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}} \ni w \rightarrow w^{2}\right| v_{\bar{T}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1} .
$$

In all case the restriction

$$
\left.\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}} \ni w \rightarrow w\right|_{\bar{v}_{\overline{1}}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\overline{1}}, \mathrm{~V}_{\overline{0}}\right)
$$

is a linear isomorphism.

## Cartan subspaces in $\mathfrak{s}_{\uparrow}$

An element $x \in \mathfrak{s}$ is called semisimple (resp., nilpotent) if $x$ is semisimple (resp., nilpotent) as an endomorphism of V. We say that a semisimple element $x \in \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}$ is regular if it is nonzero and $\operatorname{dim}(S . x) \geq \operatorname{dim}(S . y)$ for all semisimple $y \in \mathfrak{s}_{1}$. The anticommutant and the double anticommutant of $x$ in $\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}} & =\left\{y \in \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}:\{x, y\}=0\right\} \\
{ }^{x_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{s}_{-1}}}}= & \bigcap_{y \in \in_{\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}}} y_{\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. A Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$ of $\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}$ is defined as the double anticommutant of a regular semisimple element $x \in \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}$.
There are finitely many conjugacy classes of Cartan subspaces in $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$.
Every semisimple element of $\mathfrak{s}_{-1}$ belongs to the G-orbit through an element of a Cartan subspace. The set of regular semisimple elements is dense in $\mathfrak{s}_{1}$. Any two elements of a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{s}_{\overline{1}}$ commute as endomorphisms of V

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\frac{1}{1}}^{2} \subseteq \mathfrak{s}_{0}$ be the subspace spanned by all the squares $w^{2}, w \in \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$. If the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is smaller or equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ then the space $\left.\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h} \frac{2}{1} \right\rvert\, v_{\overline{0}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Similarly, If the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is smaller or equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ then the space $\left.\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}_{\frac{2}{1}}^{2} \right\rvert\, \nabla_{\bar{\top}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. In general the relation

$$
\left\{\left(w^{2}\left|v_{\overline{0}}, w^{2}\right| v_{\overline{1}}\right): w \in \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}\right\}
$$

extends to a linear bijection

$$
\mathfrak{h} \frac{2}{\bar{T}}\left|v_{\overline{0}} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \frac{2}{T}\right| v_{\bar{\top}} .
$$

We shall identify these two spaces, thus getting an embedding of a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra of the smaller or equal rank ( $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ ) into the Lie algebra of the greater or equal rank ( $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ ).

## The Capelli homomorphism

 Howe's Double Commutant Theorem says that$$
d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{G}}=d \omega\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)\right) \text { and } \quad d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}=d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) .
$$

Hence we have the surjective algebra homomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \longrightarrow d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{GG}^{\prime}} \longleftarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}
$$

## Theorem

If the rank of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is smaller or equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, then the map

$$
\mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow d \omega(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{s p}(\mathrm{~W})))^{\mathrm{GG}^{\prime}}
$$

is injective.
Hence the above defines a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}
$$

Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ we have the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{g / \mathfrak{h}}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})^{W(\mathrm{G}, \mathfrak{h c})} .
$$

where $W\left(G_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is the corresponding Weyl group. Similarly there is the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{g^{\prime} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}: \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{G^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{W\left(G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Assume the rank of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is smaller or equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$. then by viewing the dual pair as a supergroup we obtain an embedding

$$
\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} .
$$

If the vector space V is the defining module for G , then

$$
V=V_{1} \oplus V_{0},
$$

where $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the intersection of the kernels of all the elements of $x \in \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$, $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{V})$.

Let $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ denote the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $\mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{z} \mid \mathrm{v}_{1}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}$ a Cartan subalgebra. Then

$$
\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\prime \prime}
$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $\mathfrak{z}$. Let $Z$ be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ in $G$. Set $Z^{\prime \prime}=\left.Z\right|_{V_{1}}$.

## Theorem

Suppose ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ) is not a complex dual pair, with rank of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ smaller or equal than the rank of G . If $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}_{p, q}$ with $p+q$ odd then $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime \prime}$ is isomorphic to a real symplectic group. Denote by

$$
\epsilon_{\mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}}: \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime \prime}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

the infinitesimal character of the Weil representation of $\widetilde{Z}$. for all other dual pairs, let $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{z}^{\prime \prime}}$ be the infinitesimal character of the trivial representation. Then the Capelli homomorphism $\mathcal{C}$ coincides with the composition of the following maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \underset{\gamma_{\mathfrak{z} / \mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \rightarrow \gamma_{\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})^{\mathrm{Z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\substack{\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Lecture 4:

- Correspondence of simultaneous harmonics
- Howe correspondence for a general dual pair
- Open problems


## Group representations and Harish-Chandra modules

Following Harish-Chandra's "Representations of a semisimple Lie group on a Banach space. I." 1951.
$G$ - a real reductive group, $K \subseteq G$ - a maximal compact subgroup. A complex vector space V is called a ( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K}$ )-module provided:

- both $\mathfrak{g}$ and K act on it so that

$$
k \cdot x \cdot v=\operatorname{Ad}(k) x \cdot k \cdot v \quad(k \in \mathrm{~K}, x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathrm{~V}) ;
$$

- if $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ then $\mathrm{K} \cdot v$ spans a finite dimensional subspace of V ;
- the derivative of the action of $K$ coincides with the action of $\mathfrak{k}$ given by the inclusion $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

U - a Banach space on which G acts. Let $\mathrm{U}^{a n} \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ be the space of the analytic vectors.
For $\pi \in \hat{\mathrm{K}}$ let $\mathrm{U}(\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ be the subspace of vectors $v$ with the following property:
there is a finite dimensional subspace $U(v) \subseteq U$ containing $v$ which is semisimple under the action of $K$ and so that each $K$-irreducible component of $\mathrm{U}(v)$ is isomorphic to $\pi$.
Set $\mathrm{U}_{\pi}=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{an}} \cap \mathrm{U}(\pi)$ and let

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}=\sum_{\pi \in \hat{\mathrm{K}}} \mathrm{U}_{\pi}
$$

denote the subspace of the K-finite vectors.
Theorem
The space $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K})$-module and is dense in U .
$\mathrm{U}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ is called admissible if $\mathrm{U}_{\pi}<\infty$ for each $\pi \in \hat{\mathrm{K}}$.

## Theorem

Suppose $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is admissible and finitely generated. Then the map

$$
\mathrm{U} \supseteq \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{K}} \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}
$$

is a bijection between closed G-invariant subspaces and
( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K}$ )-submodules.

## Theorem

U is an irreducible unitary representation of G if and only if $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is an irreducible unitarizable ( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K}$ )-module.
Two irreducible unitary representations of G are equivalent if and only if the their $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K})$-modules are equivalent.

Two group representations are called infinitesimally equivalent if and only if their $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{K})$-modules are isomorphic. One calls $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}$ the Harish-Chandra module of $U$.

## The correspondence of simultaneous harmonics

Let ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ ) be a dual pair with each member normalized by J . Then $K=G^{J}$ and $K^{\prime}=G^{\prime J}$ are maximal compact subgroups.
Let $\mathrm{M} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$ be the centralizer of $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ and let $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{W})$ be the centralizer of K.
Then $\mathrm{M}^{J} \subseteq \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{J} \subseteq \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ are maximal compact subgroups and $\left(\mathrm{M}^{J}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime J}\right)$ happens to be a dual pair.

All together we obtain the following dual pairs

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right), & \left(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right), & \left(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}\right), & \left(\mathrm{M}^{J}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime J}\right) . \\
\text { (arbitrary, arbitrary) } & \text { (compact, arbitrary) } & \text { (arbitrary, compact) } & \text { (compact, compact) }
\end{array}
$$

## Theorem

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathrm{M}^{J}, \omega\right)$ correspond to $\pi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime J}, \omega\right)$. Let d denote the degree of $\pi \otimes \pi^{\prime}$. Assume that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\pi \otimes \pi^{\prime}} \cap \operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{K}) \neq 0 \neq \mathcal{P}_{\pi \otimes \pi^{\prime}} \cap \operatorname{Harm}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then there are unique representations $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{K}, \omega)$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, \omega\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\pi \otimes \pi^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{K})_{\sigma} \cap \operatorname{Harm}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma^{\prime}} \oplus \sum \mathcal{R}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is a direct sum of representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}$ of types $\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{0}^{\prime}$, where $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)<d$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{0}^{\prime}\right)<d$. Furthermore the space

$$
\operatorname{Harm}(\mathrm{K})_{\sigma} \cap \operatorname{Harm}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma^{\prime}}
$$

is irreducible of type $\sigma \otimes \sigma^{\prime}$. The map $\sigma \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}$ coincides with the lowest degree correspondence for the dual pair ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ) and $\sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi$ with the lowest degree correspondence for the dual pair $\left(\mathrm{K}^{\prime}, \mathrm{M}\right)$.

## Howe correspondence for an arbitrary dual pair

Recall the metaplectic group $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$, with maximal compact subgroup $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}{ }^{\mathrm{J}}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is the Harish-Chandra module (i.e. (sp, $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}$ )-module) of $\omega$. Consider:

- an irreducible dual pair ( $\left.\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right)$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}$ with maximal compact subgroups $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \cap \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}} \cap \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}$;
- an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}})$-module $\rho$ that occurs as a quotient $\rho=\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ by a $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{K})$ invariant subspace $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$;
- the intersection $\mathcal{N}_{\rho}$ of all subspaces $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\rho=\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$.


## Theorem (Howe 1989)

There is a quasisimple ( $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}$ )-module $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ of finite length such that $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}_{\rho}=\rho \otimes \rho_{1}^{\prime}$ as a $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}) \times\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}\right)$-module.
Moreover $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ has a unique irreducible quotient $\rho^{\prime}$. By applying the above procedure to $\rho^{\prime}$ one recovers $\rho$.

We have just stated the main theorem, i.e.

## Theorem (Howe 1989)

There is a quasisimple $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, \widetilde{K^{\prime}}\right)$-module $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ of finite length such that $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}_{\rho}=\rho \otimes \rho_{1}^{\prime}$ as a $(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}) \times\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}\right)$-module.
Moreover $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ has a unique irreducible quotient $\rho^{\prime}$. By applying the above procedure to $\rho^{\prime}$ one recovers $\rho$.

- $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ is called the big Howe quotient, or $\Theta\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ or $\operatorname{big} \operatorname{Theta}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$
- $\rho^{\prime}$ is called the irreducible Howe quotient, or $\theta\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$ or theta $\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$
- The resulting bijection $\rho \longleftrightarrow \rho^{\prime}$ is known as Howe correspondence or local $\theta$ correspondence.


## General properties

Let $\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}})$ be the convolution algebra of left and right $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}$-finite distributions on $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ supported in $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$.

## Theorem

$\rho_{1}^{\prime}=\rho^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}})} \mathcal{P}$, where $\rho^{\vee}$ is the contragredient of $\rho$.

## Theorem

Suppose the rank of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is smaller or equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$.
If $\rho^{\prime}$ has infinitesimal character $\gamma_{\rho^{\prime}}: \mathcal{U}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $\rho$ (in fact $\rho_{1}$ ) has infinitesimal character $\gamma_{\rho}=\gamma_{\rho^{\prime}} \circ \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

## Theorem

Suppose $\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}$ is a lowest degree K -type of $\rho$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}$ corresponds to $\sigma$ via the correspondence of simultaneous harmonics. Then $\sigma^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}$ is a lowest degree $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$-type of $\rho^{\prime}$ (in fact of $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ ).

## Theorem

Each irreducible ( $\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}$ )-module that occurs as a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ is the Harish-Chandra module of a representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ that occurs as the quotient of the space of the smooth vectors of $\omega$ by a closed invariant subspace. The same holds for $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho \otimes \rho^{\prime}$.

This way the correspondence of the Harish-Chandra modules globalizes to a correspondence of group representations.

## Theorem

If $\rho$ occurs as a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ then $\operatorname{WF}(\rho) \subseteq \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathrm{W})$.

## Theorem <br> If $\rho$ Hermitian then $\rho^{\prime}$ Hermitian.

## The Cauchy Harish-Chandra Integral

For a Cartan subgroup $\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. Define

- $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ the split part of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$;
- $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq S p$ the centralizer of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$;
- $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}$ the centralizer of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$.

Then ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ) form a (reducible) dual pair in Sp .
There is an open dense subset $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$ on which $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ acts freely.
Let $d \dot{w}$ be the measure on $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}}$ defined by

$$
\int_{\mathrm{W}} \phi(w) d \mu_{\mathrm{W}}(w)=\int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}}} \int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}} \phi(a w) d a d \dot{w}
$$

## Theorem

For any $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime c}}\right)$, the distribution

$$
T(f)=\int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime} c}} f(\tilde{g}) T(\tilde{g}) d \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{W})
$$

is a function on W , such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}}}\left|\int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}} f(g) T(g)(w) d x\right| d \dot{w}<\infty
$$

The formula

$$
\operatorname{Chc}(f)=\int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}}} T(f)(w) d\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime \prime} w\right) \quad\left(f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime c}}\right)\right)
$$

defines a distribution on $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime} c}$ which coincides with a complex valued measure. This measure extends by zero to $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}}$ and defines a distribution, which we denote by the same symbol.

Moreover,

$$
W F(\mathrm{Chc})=\left\{\left(\tilde{g}, \tau_{\alpha^{\prime \prime *}}(w)\right) ; \tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}}, \tau_{\alpha^{\prime \prime *}}(w) \neq 0, g(w)=-w\right\}
$$

The distribution Chc defined by

$$
\operatorname{Chc}(f)=\int_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime} \backslash \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}}} T(f)(w) d\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime \prime} w\right) \quad\left(f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime c}}\right)\right)
$$

is the Cauchy Harish-Chandra integral.
For any $h^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{\prime r e g}$, the intersection of the wave front set of the distribution Chc with the conormal bundle of the embedding

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \ni \widetilde{g} \longrightarrow \widetilde{h^{\prime}} \widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

is empty. Hence there is a unique restriction of the distribution Chc to $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$, denoted $\mathrm{Chc}_{\widetilde{h^{\prime}}}$.

## The distribution $\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}$

Recall the Weyl - Harish-Chandra integration formula

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}} \phi(g) d g=\sum_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}} c_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}} \int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}^{\prime r e g}}} D(h) \int_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime} / \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{\prime}} \phi\left(g \widetilde{h} g^{-1}\right) d \dot{g} d \widetilde{h} .
$$

Define

$$
\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}(f)=C_{\rho^{\prime}} \sum c_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}} \int_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime r e g}} D(h) \Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{h}^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Chc}_{\widetilde{h}}(f) d \widetilde{h}
$$

## Theorem

$\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is an invariant eigendistribution on $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ with infinitesimal character $\gamma_{\rho^{\prime}} \circ \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathrm{G}^{\prime 0}$ be the Zariski identity component of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.
(Then $\mathrm{G}^{\prime 0}=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, unless $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is an even orthogonal group.)
Conjecture
If the character $\Theta_{\rho}$ is supported in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime 0}$, then, as distributions,

$$
\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\Theta_{\rho_{1}},
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ is the big Howe quotient of $\rho^{\prime}$.

## Pairs of type I in the stable range

The pair $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ is of type I if it acts irreducibly on $W$ and $W$ is a single isotypic component under this action. In this case, there is:
$\diamond$ a division algebra $\mathbb{D}$ with an involution over $\mathbb{F}$
$\diamond$ two vector spaces V and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ with with non-degenerate Hermitian forms $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)^{\prime}$ of opposite type
such that
$\diamond \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$,
$\diamond \mathrm{G}$ coincides with the isometry group of $(\mathrm{V},(\cdot, \cdot))$,
$\diamond \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ coincides with the isometry group of $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime},(\cdot, \cdot)^{\prime}\right)$.
The pair $\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ is in the stable range with $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ - the smaller member if the dimension of the maximal isotropic subspace of V is greater or equal to the dimension of $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$.

## The equality $\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\Theta_{\rho}$

Let $\left(G, G^{\prime}\right)$ be a dual pair of type I in the stable range with $G^{\prime}$ - the smaller member.
Assume that the representation $\rho^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}$ is unitary.
Theorem
$\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\Theta_{\rho}$.
Idea of the proof. We show that the two distributions are equal on a Zariski open subset $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$. Since both $\Theta_{\rho}$ and $\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ are invariant eigendistributions, Harish-Chandra Regularity Theorem implies that they are equal everywhere.
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## Some open problems

Preservation of unitarity: under what conditions, if $\rho$ is unitary, then so is $\rho^{\prime}$ ? (T.P., Jian-Shu Li, Hongy He, Sun Binyoung, Chengbo Zhu, Jajun Ma, Dan Barbasch,...)

Character correspondence: given $\Theta_{\rho}$ describe $\Theta_{\rho^{\prime}}$. (T.P., Florent Bernon, Wee Teck Gan, Allan Merino,...)

Wave front set correspondence: given $W F(\rho)$ compute $W F\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$. (T.P., Jajun Ma, Hung Yean Loke, Angela Pasquale, Mark McKee.)

Langlands parameters: given the Langlands parameters of $\rho$ compute the Langlands parameters of $\rho^{\prime}$. (T.P., Jeff Adams, Dan Barbasch, Annegret Paul, Colette Moeglin, Jean-Loup Waldspurger, Jian-Shu Li, Chengbo Zhu, Eng-Chye Tan, Xiang Fan.)
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